

HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY



THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
NEW YORK · BOSTON · CHICAGO · DALLAS
ATLANTA · SAN FRANCISCO

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED
LONDON · BOMBAY · CALCUTTA · MADRAS
MELBOURNE

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
OF CANADA, LIMITED
TORONTO

A

A HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY

44.64.2
106

WITH ESPECIAL REFERENCE TO

THE FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF ITS
PROBLEMS AND CONCEPTIONS

BY

DR. W. WINDELBAND

PROFESSOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF STRASBURG

AUTHORISED TRANSLATION BY

JAMES H. TUFTS, PH.D.

PROFESSOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
TUFTS

5086
148892A

Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged

Проб

New York

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

LONDON, MACMILLAN & CO., LTD.

44.64.2
106

A

Ä

COPYRIGHT, 1893, 1901,
By THE MACMILLAN COMPANY.

All rights reserved—no part of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission in writing from the publisher, except by a reviewer who wishes to quote brief passages in connection with a review written for inclusion in magazine or newspaper.

Set up and electrotyped. Published September, 1893.



1126529-64

TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.



REGARDED simply as a historical discipline, the history of thought might fairly claim a prominent place in education, and an equal share of the attention now given to comparative and historical studies. The evolution of an idea is in itself as interesting and valuable an object of study as the evolution of a word, of an institution, of a state, or of a vegetable or animal form.

But aside from this interest which it has in common with other historical sciences, the history of philosophy has a peculiar value of its own. For the moment we attempt any serious thinking in any field, — natural science, history, literature, ethics, theology, or any other, — we find ourselves at the outset quite at the mercy of the words and ideas which form at once our intellectual atmosphere and the instruments with which we must work. We cannot speak, for example, of mind or matter, of cause or force, of species or individual, of universe or God, of freedom or necessity, of substance or evolution, of science or law, of good or true or real, without involving a host of assumptions. And the assumptions are there, even though we may be unconscious of them, or ignore them in an effort to dispense with metaphysics. To dispense with these conceptions is impossible. Our only recourse, if we would not beg our questions in advance, or remain in unconscious bondage to the instruments of our thought, or be slaves to the thinking of the past generations that have forged out our ideas for us, is to “criticise our categories.” And one of the most important, if not the only successful, means to this end is a study of the origin and development of these categories. We can free ourselves from the past only by mastering it. We may not hope to see beyond Aristotle or Kant until we have stood