

# HISTORY OF GREECE

- I. Legendary Greece.
- II. Grecian History to the Reign of  
Peisistratus at Athens.

BY

GEORGE GROTE, Esq.

VOL. II.

REPRINTED FROM THE SECOND LONDON EDITION.

NEW YORK:  
HARPER & BROTHERS, PUBLISHERS,  
329 AND 331 PEARL STREET.

# CONTENTS.

## VOL. II.

### PART I.

#### CONTINUATION OF LEGENDARY GREECE.

#### CHAPTER XVIII.

##### CLOSING EVENTS OF LEGENDARY GREECE.—PERIOD OF INTERMEDIATE DARKNESS, BEFORE THE DAWN OF HISTORICAL GREECE.

###### SECTION I.—*Return of the Heracleids into Peloponnësus.*

Exile and low condition of the Heracleids. — Their reappearance as a powerful force along with the Dorians. — Mythical account of this alliance, as well as of the three tribes of Dorians. — Tëmenus, Kresphontës, and Aristodëmus, invade Peloponnësus across the gulf of Corinth. — The prophet Karnus slain by Ippotës. — Oxylus chosen as guide. — Division of the lands of Peloponnësus among the invaders. — Explanatory value of these legendary events. — Mythical title of the Dorians to Peloponnësus. — Plato makes out a different title for the same purpose. — Other legends respecting the Achæans and Tisamenus. — Occupation of Argos, Sparta, and Messënia, by the Dorians. — Dorians at Corinth — Alëtës. — Oxylus and the Ætolians at Elis. — Rights of the Eleians to superintend the Olympic games. — Family of Tëmenus and Kresphontës lowest in the series of subjects for the heroic drama. — Pretence of the historical Spartan kings to Achæan origin. — Emigrations from Peloponnësus consequent on the Dorian occupation. — Epeians, Pyleans, Achæans, Ionians. — Ionians in the north of Peloponnësus — not recognized by Homer. — Date assigned by Thucydides to the return of the Heracleids. . . . . pages 1-14

###### SECTION II.—*Migration of Thessalians and Boeotians.*

Thessalians move from Thesprôtis into Thessaly. — Non-Hellenic character of the Thessalians. — Boeotians — their migration from Thessaly into Boeotia. — Discrepant legends about the Boeotians. — Affinities between Boeotia and Thessaly. — Transition from mythical to historical Boeotia . . . . . 14-19

### SECTION III. — *Emigrations from Greece to Asia and the Islands of the Ægean.*

#### 1. Æolic Emigration.

Secession of the mythical races of Greece. — Æolic migration under the Pelopids ..... 19-21

#### 2. Ionic Emigration.

Ionic emigration — branches off from the legendary history of Athens. — Thésens and Menestheus. — Restoration of the sons of Thésens to their father's kingdom. — They are displaced by the Neleids. — Melanthus and Kodrus. — Devotion and death of Kodrus. — No more kings at Athens. — Quarrel of the sons of Kodrus, and emigration of Neileus. — Different races who furnished the emigrants to Iônia ..... 21-25

#### 3. Doric Emigrations.

Dorian colonies in Asia. — Théra. — Legend of the Minyæ from Lemnos. — Minyæ in Triphylia. — Migrations of Dorians to Krete. — Story of Andrôn. — Althæmenês, founder of Rhodes. — Kôs, Knidus, and Karpæthus ..... 25-31

Intervening blank between legend and history. — Difficulty of explaining that blank, on the hypothesis of continuous tradition. — Such an interval essentially connected with the genesis of legend ..... 31-34

## CHAPTER XIX.

### APPLICATION OF CHRONOLOGY TO GRECIAN LEGEND.

Different schemes of chronology proposed for the mythical events. — The data essential to chronological determination are here wanting. — Modern chronologists take up the same problem as ancient, but with a different canon of belief. — Mr. Clinton's opinion on the computations of the date of the Trojan war. — Value of the chronological computations depends on the trustworthiness of the genealogies. — Mr. Clinton's vindication of the genealogies — his proofs. — 1. Inscriptions — none of proved antiquity. — Genealogies — numerous, and of unascertainable date. — 2. Early poets. — Mr. Clinton's separation of the genealogical persons into real and fabulous: principles on which it is founded. — Remarks on his opinion. — His concessions are partial and inconsistent, yet sufficient to render the genealogies inapplicable for chronology. — Mr. Clinton's positions respecting historical evidence. — To what extent presumption may stand in

favor of the early poets. — Plausible fiction satisfies the conditions laid down by Mr. Clinton — not distinguishable from truth without the aid of evidence. — Kadmus, Danaus, Hyllus, etc., all eponyms, and falling under Mr. Clinton's definition of fictitious persons. — What is real in the genealogies cannot be distinguished from what is fictitious. — At what time did the poets begin to produce continuous genealogies, from the mythical to the real world? — Evidence of mental progress when men methodize the past, even on fictitious principles. . . . . 34-57

## CHAPTER XX.

### STATE OF SOCIETY AND MANNERS AS EXHIBITED IN GRECIAN LEGEND.

Legendary poems of Greece valuable pictures of real manners, though giving no historical facts. — They are memorials of the first state of Grecian society — the starting-point of Grecian history. — Comparison of legendary with historical Greece — government of the latter — of the former. — The king — in legendary Greece. — His overruling personal ascendancy. — Difficulty which Aristotle found in explaining to himself the voluntary obedience paid to the early kings. — The *boulè* — the *agora*: their limited intervention and subordination to the king. — The *agora* — a medium for promulgation of the intentions of the king. — *Agora* summoned by Telemachus in Ithaca. — *Agora* in the second book of the *Iliad* — picture of submission which it presents. — Conduct of Odysseus to the people and the chiefs. — Justice administered in the *agora* by the king or chiefs. — Complaints made by Hesiod of unjust judgment in his own case. — The king among men is analogous to Zeus among gods. — The Council and Assembly, originally media through which the king acted, become, in historical Greece, the paramount depositaries of power. — Spartan kings an exception to the general rule — their limited powers. — Employment of public speaking as an engine of government — coeval with the earliest times. — Its effects in stimulating intellectual development. — Moral and social feeling in legendary Greece. — Omnipotence of personal feeling towards the gods, the king, or individuals. — Effect of special ceremonies. — Contrast with the feelings in historical Athens. — Force of the family tie. — Marriage — respect paid to the wife. — Brothers, and kinsmen. — Hospitality. — Reception of the stranger and the suppliant. — Personal sympathies the earliest form of sociality. — Ferocious and aggressive passions unrestrained. — Picture given by Hesiod still darker. — Contrast between heroic and historical Greece. — Orphans. — Mutilation of dead bodies. — Mode of dealing with homicide. — Appeased by valuable compensation (*ποινὴ*) to the kinsman of the murdered man. — Punished in historical Greece as a crime against society. — Condition, occupations, and professions of the Homeric Greeks. — Slaves. — *Thêtes*. — Limited commerce and navigation of the Homeric Greeks. — *Kretans*, *Taphians*, *Phenicians*. — Nature of Phœnician trade as indicated by Homer. — Weapons and mode of fighting of the Homeric Greeks. — Contrast with the military array of historical Greece. — Analogous change — in military array and in civil society. — Fortification of towns. — Earliest residences of the Greeks — hill-villages lofty and difficult of access. — Homeric society recognizes walled towns, individual

property, and strong local attachments.—Means of defence superior to those of attack.—Habitual piracy.—Extended geographical knowledge in the Hesiodic poems, as compared with Homer.—Astronomy and physics.—Coined money, writing, arts.—Epic poetry.—Its great and permanent influence on the Greek mind. . . . . 57-118

## CHAPTER XXI.

### GRECIAN EPIC.—HOMERIC POEMS.

Two classes of epic poetry—Homeric—Hesiodic.—Didactic and mystic Hexameter poetry—later as a genus than the epic.—Lost epic poems.—Epic poets and their probable dates.—Epic cycle.—What the epic cycle was—an arrangement of the poems according to continuity of narrative.—Relation of the epic cycle to Homer.—What poems were included in the cycle.—The Iliad and Odyssey are the only poems of the cycle preserved.—Curiosity which these two poems provoke—no data to satisfy it.—Different poems ascribed to Homer.—Nothing known, and endless diversity of opinion, respecting the person and date of Homer.—Poetical gens of the Homêrids.—Homer, the superhuman eponymus and father of this gens.—What may be the dates of the Iliad and Odyssey.—Date assigned by Herodotus the most probable.—Probable date of the Iliad and Odyssey between 850 and 776 B. C.—Epic poems recited to assembled companies, not read by individuals apart.—Lyric and choric poetry, intended for the ear.—Importance of the class of **rhapsodes**, singers, and reciters.—Rhapsodes condemned by the Socratic philosophers—undeservedly.—Variations in the mode of reciting the **ancient** epic.—At what time the Homeric poems began to be written.—**Prolegomena** of Wolf—raised new questions respecting the Homeric text—connected unity of authorship with poems written from the beginning.—The two questions not necessarily connected, though commonly discussed together.—Few traces of writing, long after the Homeric age.—Bards or rhapsodes of adequate memory, less inconsistent with the conditions of the age than long MSS.—Blind bards.—Possibility of preserving the poems by memory, as accurately as in fact they were preserved.—Argument from the lost letter Digamma.—When did the Homeric poems begin to be written?—Reasons for presuming that they were first written about the middle of the seventh century B. C.—Condition of the Iliad and Odyssey down to the reign of Peisistratus.—Theory of Wolf.—Authorities quoted in its favor.—Objections against it.—Other long epic poems besides the Iliad and Odyssey.—Catalogue in the Iliad—essentially a part of a long poem—its early authority.—Iliad and Odyssey were entire poems long anterior to Peisistratus, whether they were originally composed as entire or not.—No traces in the Homeric poems, of ideas or customs belonging to the age of Peisistratus.—Homeric poems. 1. Whether by one author or several. 2. Whether of one date and scheme.—Question raised by Wolf—Sagen-poesie.—New standard applied to the Homeric poems.—Homeric unity—generally rejected by German critics in the last generation—now again partially revived.—Scanty evidence—difficulty of forming any conclusive opinion.—Method of studying the question of Homeric unity.—Odyssey to be studied first, as of more simple and intelligible structure than the Iliad.—