

Ä

ESSAYS

IN

PHILOSOPHICAL CRITICISM

EDITED BY

ANDREW SETH AND R. B. HALDANE

WITH A PREFACE BY

EDWARD CAIRD

LONDON

LONGMANS, GREEN, AND CO.

1883

*All rights reserved*

Ä

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY  
OF  
THOMAS HILL GREEN

WHYTE'S PROFESSOR OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY  
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

# CONTENTS.

	PAGE
PREFACE . . . . .	1
<i>By EDWARD CAIRD, M.A., LL.D., Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Glasgow.</i>	
I. PHILOSOPHY AS CRITICISM OF CATEGORIES . . . . .	8
<i>By ANDREW SETH, M.A., Assistant to the Professor of Logic and Metaphysics in the University of Edinburgh, and late Hibbert Scholar.</i>	
II. THE RELATION OF PHILOSOPHY TO SCIENCE . . . . .	41
<i>By R. B. HALDANE, M.A., Barrister-at-Law, and J. S. HALDANE, M.A.</i>	
III. LOGIC AS THE SCIENCE OF KNOWLEDGE . . . . .	67
<i>By BERNARD BOSANQUET, M.A., Fellow and late Tutor of University College, Oxford.</i>	
IV. THE HISTORICAL METHOD . . . . .	102
<i>By W. R. SORLEY, B.A. (Camb.), M.A. (Edin.) Scholar of Trinity College, Cambridge, and Shaw Fellow of the University of Edinburgh.</i>	
V. THE RATIONALITY OF HISTORY . . . . .	126
<i>By D. G. RITCHIE, M.A., Fellow and Tutor of Jesus College, and Lecturer of Balliol College, Oxford.</i>	
VI. THE PHILOSOPHY OF ART . . . . .	150
<i>By W. P. KER, M.A., Fellow of All Souls College, Oxford.</i>	
VII. THE SOCIAL ORGANISM . . . . .	187
<i>By HENRY JONES, M.A., late Clark Fellow of the Uni- versity of Glasgow.</i>	
VIII. THE STRUGGLE FOR EXISTENCE . . . . .	214
<i>By JAMES BONAR, M.A., Balliol College, Oxford.</i>	
IX. PESSIMISM AND THE RELIGIOUS CONSCIOUSNESS . . . . .	246
<i>By T. B. KILPATRICK, M.A., B.D.</i>	



Ä

ESSAYS

IN

PHILOSOPHICAL CRITICISM.

---

*PREFACE.*

THE various contributors to a volume of Essays such as the present may naturally be supposed to be animated by some common purpose or tendency; and I have been requested to say a few words to indicate how far such a common purpose or tendency exists.

In the first place, then, I have to state that the Essays have been written quite independently by their several authors, and that any agreement which exists among them is due, not to an intention to advocate any special philosophical theory, but rather to a certain community of opinion in relation to the general principle and method of philosophy. In other words, it may be described as an agreement as to the direction in which inquiry may most fruitfully be prosecuted, rather than a concurrence in any definite results that have as yet been attained by it. Such an agreement is consistent with great and even vital differences. For any idea that has a principle of growth in it, any idea that takes hold of man's spiritual life on many sides, is certain, as it develops, to produce wide divergencies, and even to call forth much antagonism and conflict between its supporters. A doctrine that passes unchanged from hand to hand, is by that very fact shown to have exhausted its inherent force; and those ideas have been the most fruitful both in religion and philosophy, which, accepted as a common starting-point, have given rise to the most far-reaching controversy. Never-

Ä